

Limits on Dust and Metallicity Evolution of Ly α Forest Clouds from COBE

Andrea Ferrara¹, Biman Nath², Shiv K. Sethi³ & Yuri Shchekinov^{1,4}

¹*Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri 50125 Firenze, Italy*

E-mail: ferrara@arcetri.astro.it

²*Raman Research Institute, Bangalore –560080, India*

E-mail: biman@rri.ernet.in

³*Institut d'Astrophysique, 75014 Paris, France*

E-mail: sethi@iap.fr

⁴*Department of Physics, Rostov State University, 344090 Rostov on Don, Russia*

E-mail: yus@rsuss1.rnd.runnet.ru

ABSTRACT

We consider possible observational consequences of dust and metals in Ly α forest clouds. We relate the dust content, $\Omega_d^{Ly\alpha}$, to the metal evolution of the absorbers and assume that dust is heated by the ultraviolet background radiation and by the CMB. We find that the dust temperature deviates from T_{CMB} by at most 10% at redshift $z = 0$. The Ly α cloud dust opacity to redshift ~ 5 sources around the observed wavelength $\lambda_0 \sim 1\mu\text{m}$ is ~ 0.13 , and could affect observations of the distant universe in that band. The expected CMB spectral distortions due to high- z dust in Ly α clouds is $\sim 1.25 - 10$ smaller than the current COBE upper limit, depending on the metallicity evolution of the clouds. If Ly α clouds are clustered, the corresponding CMB anisotropy due to dust is $\sim 10^{-1}$ on angular scales $\theta \lesssim 10''$ at frequencies probed by various future/ongoing FIR missions, which makes these fluctuations potentially detectable in the near future. Emission from CII fine-structure transitions could considerably contribute to submm range of the FIR background radiation. Depending on the ionization of carbon and on the density of metal enriched regions, this contribution can be comparable with the observed residual flux at $\lambda \approx 0.15\text{ mm}$, after CMB subtraction. We argue that constraints on metal evolution versus redshift can be obtained from the observed flux in that range.

Key words: Cosmology: theory – intergalactic medium – quasars: absorption lines

1 INTRODUCTION

Background emission from high redshift dust has been shown to be a good tracer of energy release in the early universe, since dust optical properties differ considerably from a black body (Rowan - Robinson *et al.* 1979, Zinchenko, 1979, Negroponte *et al.* 1981, Bond *et al.* 1986, Naselskii & Novikov 1989, Bond *et al.* 1991, Loeb & Haiman 1997). Direct indications of the existence of dust at high redshift come from the reddening of background quasars (Ostriker & Heisler 1984, Fall & Pei 1989). Indirect evidences of dust in damped Ly α systems have been obtained from the relative gas-phase abundances of Zn and Cr (Pettini *et al.* 1997). Fall *et al.* (1996) have calculated the cosmic infrared background from dust in damped Ly α systems, and found good agreement with FIR background ($\nu I_\nu \approx 10^{-5}\text{ erg cm}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}\text{ sr}^{-1}$ at 200 - 400 μm) deduced from COBE/FIRAS data

(Puget *et al.* 1996, Guiderdoni *et al.* 1997, Fixen *et al.* 1998), which also seem to imply the presence of dust. Recent detection of heavy elements, such as carbon and silicon (Tytler *et al.* 1995, Songaila & Cowie 1996) in Ly α clouds at redshift $z \sim 3$ can potentially indicate that dust exists also in the Ly α forest: it is quite natural to assume that dust grains are associated with heavy elements. Dust in Ly α forest clouds would be relevant to the understanding of the origin of Ly α clouds and their association to Pop III objects (Ciardi & Ferrara, 1997), the enrichment of intergalactic medium with metals (Gnedin & Ostriker 1997), and the thermal history of Ly α clouds (Nath *et al.* 1997). Here we assume that Ly α clouds contain dust, and calculate its contribution to the submillimeter background. Our model can then be used to set limits on the epoch of dust formation (possibly related to the IGM metal enrichment era) by comparing the calculated dust background flux with COBE/FIRAS data. The

results are independent of the star formation history in the universe and weakly dependent on the specific form of the heating radiation. We first (§ 2) calculate the dust temperature and opacity (§ 3); in § 4 we calculate the expected CMB distortions by dust emission and § 5 contains the estimated emission from PAH and metals in the gas phase. § 6 is devoted to the study of CMB anisotropies induced by dust in Ly α clouds clustered on large scales; finally, § 7 summarizes the results.

2 Ly α CLOUD DUST TEMPERATURE

A dust grain in a Ly α cloud is exposed to the UV-optical background radiation (UVB) provided by high redshift QSOs (and possibly star forming galaxies, Loeb & Haiman 1997, neglected here) and to the cosmic microwave background (CMB). Hence, the grain will be heated to an equilibrium temperature, T , and will itself radiate. The intensity of radiation, $I_\nu(t)$, observed at epoch t is the sum of the contribution from CMB and UVB, both attenuated by dust, and from dust emission. This is obtained by solving the radiative transfer equation in an expanding universe (Rowan-Robinson *et al.* 1979, Negroponte *et al.* 1981):

$$I_\nu(t) = \left\{ \pi B_\nu[T_{CMB}(t)] + \pi J_\nu(t) \right\} e^{-\tau_\nu(t_i, t)} + \int_{t_i}^t \pi B_\nu[T(s)R(s)/R(t)] e^{-\tau_\nu(s, t)} d\tau_\nu(s, t) \quad (1)$$

where πB_ν is the Planck function in units of $\text{ergs cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{Hz}^{-1}$, T_{CMB} is the cosmic microwave background temperature, and $4\pi J_\nu$ is the intensity of the UVB in the same units as πB_ν ; R is the scale factor, t_i (z_i) is the maximum (minimum) between the time (redshift) of dust formation and IGM reionization due to the UVB; $\tau_\nu(t_1, t_2)$ is the optical depth at epoch t_1 to a source emitting at epoch t_2 .

The dust temperature at redshift z is given by the detailed balance equation:

$$\int_0^\infty d\nu Q_{abs}(\nu, a) \pi B_\nu[T(z)] = \int_0^\infty d\nu Q_{abs}(\nu, a) I_\nu(z), \quad (2)$$

or

$$\int_0^\infty d\nu Q_{abs}(\nu, a) \pi [B_\nu[T(z)] - B_\nu[T_{CMB}(z)]] e^{-\tau_\nu(z, z_i)} \simeq \int_0^\infty d\nu Q_{abs}(\nu, a) \pi J_\nu(z) e^{-\tau_\nu(z, z_i)} \equiv \mathcal{H}(z, a). \quad (3)$$

$Q_{abs}(\nu, a)$ is the absorption coefficient for a grain of radius a . We have neglected in eq. 3 the effect of re-radiation from grains: this is a good approximation as long as $\tau \ll 1$. We will show in the next Section that indeed this is the case for the present problem. For the same reason the attenuation both of the CMB and UVB fluxes can be neglected. In this limit the formal solution of eq. 3 is

$$T(z) = \left[T_{CMB}^6(z) + \Theta \frac{\mathcal{H}(z, a)}{a} \right]^{1/6}, \quad (4)$$

where $\Theta = c^4 h^5 / 2\pi Q_{abs}^0 k_B^6 \Gamma(6) \zeta(6)$. In deriving eq. 4 we have used the following approximation for the absorption coefficient at infrared wavelengths: $Q_{abs}(\nu, a) = Q_{abs}^0 a \lambda^{-2}$ with $Q_{abs}^0 = 1.182 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}$; this is an excellent fit to the

results of Draine & Lee (1984) for the astronomical silicate for $\lambda \gtrsim 20 \mu\text{m}$; ζ is the Riemann function and other symbols appearing in Θ have usual meaning. Thus, the evolution of the dust temperature excess over T_{CMB} is governed by the UVB energy flux absorbed by the grain, $\mathcal{H}(z, a)$. In order to determine this quantity we have adopted the Haardt & Madau (1996, HM) UVB spectrum, and convolved it with the $Q_{abs}(\nu, a)$ as calculated from optical properties of Draine & Lee (1984) astronomical silicates for a given value of a , in the wavelength range $4.3 \text{ \AA} \leq \lambda \leq 48 \mu\text{m}$. This choice tends to underestimate the true UVB flux for two reasons: (i) possible contributions from galaxies in addition to quasars have not been included; (ii) the power-law approximation to the typical UV-optical spectrum of a quasar does not account for line emission and other features, such as the well known blue-bump. Thus, eq. 4 represents a lower limit to $T(z)$. In addition, if a population of very small grains and/or PAHs does exist in Ly α clouds the grain temperature might fluctuate stochastically. The equilibrium approximation breaks down when the temperature fluctuation ΔT is $(\langle \Delta T^2 \rangle)^{1/2} / T \sim 1$: according to Draine & Anderson (1985) this should occur for $a \lesssim 20 \text{ nm}$, both for silicate and graphite grains. In general this non-equilibrium effect mimics a higher equilibrium temperature.

We have solved eq. 4 numerically, and the following analytical expression approximates the numerical results within less than 5% on the entire redshift range for which the UVB data exist, $0 \leq z \leq 6$:

$$\frac{T(z) - T_{CMB}(z)}{T_{CMB}(z)} = \frac{\Delta T}{T_{CMB}} \approx 0.54 e^{-1.36z} a_{nm}^{-0.37}, \quad (5)$$

where $a_{nm} = a/\text{nm}$. The previous formula demonstrates that the dust temperature is governed by the CMB at high redshift ($\Delta T/T_{CMB}[z > 3] \lesssim 0.1\%$ for a 100 nm grain, and therefore the presence of the UVB is completely negligible at these earlier epochs), and that larger grains tend to be slightly colder, since they are more efficient emitters.

3 DUST OPACITY

The dust optical depth at redshift z_1 to a source emitting at redshift z_2 is (Rowan-Robinson *et al.* 1979)

$$\tau_\nu(z_1, z_2) = c \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \rho_c \Omega_d^{Ly\alpha}(z) \kappa(\nu, a) \left| \frac{dt}{dz} \right| dz, \quad (6)$$

where $|dt/dz| = H_0^{-1}(1+z)^{-5/2}$ (we assume a cosmology with $\Omega = 1$, and $h = 0.65$, wherever not differently specified); $\Omega_d^{Ly\alpha}$ is the mass density of dust in Ly α clouds in units of the cosmological critical density, ρ_c , and $\kappa = 3Q_{abs}/4a\delta$, with $\delta \sim 3 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ being the grain mass density, is the grain absorption cross section per gram. Introducing the dust-to-gas ratio, $\mathcal{D}$, we can express the dust density in terms of the Ly α cloud baryon density as $\Omega_d^{Ly\alpha} = \mathcal{D} \Omega_b^{Ly\alpha}$. Next we assume that $\mathcal{D}$ has a power-law dependence on the gas metallicity (in solar units): $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_\odot Z^p$, where $\mathcal{D}_\odot$ gives the appropriate normalization to the galactic value. The precise determination of $\mathcal{D}_\odot$ still suffers from uncertainties related to the amount of cold ($T \leq 30 \text{ K}$) dust; reasonable estimates of the latter quantity yield a value $\mathcal{D}_\odot \approx 6.7 \times 10^{-3}$, which we use here (Young & Scoville 1991). The index p is usually taken to be equal to unity (Pei & Fall 1995, Fall *et al.* 1996,

4 CMB DISTORTION BY DUST

In this Section we calculate the expected distortions of the CMB spectrum caused by dust emission with the temperature evolution eq. 5. The observed intensity at the present epoch in the microwave range (eq. 1) is

$$I_{\nu_0}(z=0) = \left\{ \pi B_{\nu_0} [T_{CMB}(z)/(1+z)] \right\} [1 - \tau_{\nu_0}(0, z_i)] + \int_0^{z_i} \pi B_{\nu_0} [T(z)/(1+z)] d\tau_{\nu_0} \quad (9)$$

where $\nu = \nu_0(1+z)$ (ν_0 is the photon frequency at $z=0$). We have used the strong inequality $\tau_{\nu_0}^{IR} \ll 1$, and in the third term second order factors in the product $\Omega_d^{Ly\alpha}$ have been neglected. We now compare the total intensity in eq. 9 with that of CMB one. To parametrize the deviation of the CMB spectrum at $z=0$ from a black-body, we introduce the y -parameter defined as

$$y(z_i) \equiv \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{\int_0^\infty d\nu_0 I_{\nu_0}(z_i)}{\int_0^\infty d\nu_0 \pi B_{\nu_0} [T_{CMB}(z_i)/(1+z_i)]} - 1 \right]; \quad (10)$$

The experimental results from COBE have set the upper limit, $y_C = 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ at the 95% confidence level (Fixsen *et al.* 1996). Using eqs. 5, 6 and 9 we obtain

$$y(z_i) \simeq \mathcal{A} \int_0^{z_i} dz H_0 \left| \frac{dt}{dz} \right| (1+z)^{6-pq} e^{-1.36z}, \quad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{D}_\odot Z_o^p (1+z_m)^{pq} \Omega_b^{Ly\alpha} a_{nm}^{-0.37} h$. Note that y depends strongly on z_i as long as $\Delta T/T_{CMB}$ is relatively large, but only linearly on $\Omega_b^{Ly\alpha}$, thus making the result robust against evolution of cloud baryon content.

Fig. 2 shows graphically the result given in eq. 11 for $\Omega_b^{Ly\alpha} = 0.02$ and $a_{nm} = 100$ for different values of p and q as a function of z_i . For $p=1$, $y(z_i)$ falls below y_C by a factor 1.25-10 ($z_i \gtrsim 3$). Higher values of q (*i.e.* stronger metallicity evolution) produce larger values of y since more dust is present at redshifts where $\Delta T/T_{CMB}$ is larger. For similar reasons, the case with $p=2$ generally yields lower values of y . As a comparison we have also plotted results for two different cosmologies, *i.e.* a flat model with $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.4, h = 0.65$ and an open model with $\Omega = 0.2, h = 0.65$, for $p=1, q=0$. These two models give essentially the same curve for the y -parameter, which in turn is lower than the standard case $\Omega = 1$.

5 FIR EMISSION FROM PAHS AND METALS

Small grains ($a \sim 10-100$ Å) and PAH molecules ($a \sim 5-30$ Å) are numerous in the local ISM. They are traced by a strong excess of emission around $10\mu\text{m}$ (Puget *et al.* 1985) which arises either due to temperature fluctuations of small grains, or characteristic IR emission of PAH molecules. We assume that physical conditions in Ly α clouds allow small grains to exist there. Although the origin of small grains is still far from being clearly understood, we note that most efficient destruction mechanism (ionizing UV radiation) is much weaker (by at least 2.5 orders of magnitude) in Ly α clouds than in the local ISM. Following this idea, we estimate possible observational evidences for small grains and PAH molecules in Ly α clouds.

The total energy emitted by a 100-atom PAH in a

Figure 1. Dust opacity as a function of the present observation wavelength for $p=1$, $a=100$ nm. The curves refer to different values of the evolution parameter $q=0$ (solid lines), 1 (dashed) and redshift $z=5, 10, 20$ from the lowermost to the uppermost curves, respectively.

Eales & Edmunds 1996), implying a linear relation between $\mathcal{D}$ and Z . However, an increasing number of studies (Issa *et al.* 1990, Schmidt & Boller 1993, Lisenfeld & Ferrara 1998) find that such relation is nonlinear. For example, Lisenfeld & Ferrara (1997) find $p = 1.85_{-0.5}^{+1.1}$ for a sample of dwarf galaxies which might be more representative of metal poor Ly α clouds. Finally, we need to specify the metallicity evolution of Ly α clouds, $Z(z)$. A handful of metal absorption line detections in the Ly α forest with $N_{HI} \gtrsim 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ have now been claimed (Cowie *et al.* 1995, Tytler *et al.* 1995, Songaila & Cowie 1996); nevertheless, our understanding of this issue remains very poor. We assume that the metallicity in these objects varies as

$$Z(z) = Z_o \left(\frac{1+z_m}{1+z} \right)^q, \quad q \geq 0 \quad (7)$$

and use observations to fix $Z_o = Z(z_m) \simeq 10^{-2}$ at the reference redshift $z_m = 3$. Note that $q=0$ corresponds to no-evolution, whereas $q=3.3$ gives a present solar metallicity. Using the above prescriptions, we can write

$$\Omega_d^{Ly\alpha}(z) = \mathcal{D}_\odot Z_o^p \left(\frac{1+z_m}{1+z} \right)^{pq} \Omega_b^{Ly\alpha}; \quad (8)$$

we assume $\Omega_b^{Ly\alpha} \simeq \Omega_b$ in agreement with recent hydrodynamical simulations (Miralda-Escudé *et al.* 1996). Substituting the above relation into eq. 6, we derive the behavior of $\tau_{\lambda_0}(0, z)$ (shown in Fig. 1) as a function of the present wavelength, λ_0 for different values of z and q . From Fig. 1 we deduce that: (i) the opacity in the submillimeter range is smaller than a few percent at all redshifts $z < 20$; (ii) the opacity to UVB photons is always ≤ 0.1 if $z < 6$, the epoch for which a HM-type UVB is assumed to exist. However, the Ly α cloud dust opacity to redshift ~ 5 sources around $\lambda_0 \sim 1\mu\text{m}$ is as high as ~ 0.13 , and could considerably affect observations of the distant universe in that band.

Figure 2. The equivalent y -parameter versus $z_i = \min[\text{Reionization, Dust formation redshift}]$ produced by dust ($a = 100\text{nm}$) in different evolutionary scenarios: $p = 1$ (solid lines), and $p = 2$ (dotted lines) for $q = 0, 1, 2, 3.3$; $\Omega_b^{Ly\alpha} = 0.02$. The *dashed*, overlapped lines refer to the cosmologies $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.4, h = 0.65$ and $\Omega = 0.2, h = 0.65$, for $p = 1, q = 0$.

band $\Delta\lambda = 0.04 \mu\text{m}$ around the restframe wavelength $\lambda_r = 3.3 \mu\text{m}$ can be estimated as $E_{\lambda_r} \simeq 4.2 \text{ eV}$ (Puget & Léger, 1989) per absorbed UV photon ($E_{UV} \sim 10\text{eV}$). The volume emissivity in this band is then

$$\mathcal{E}_\nu = \frac{E_{\lambda_r}}{\Delta\lambda} \dot{N}_p^{PAH}. \quad (12)$$

$\dot{N}_p^{PAH}$ is the rate of UV photons absorbed by PAHs per unit volume

$$\dot{N}_p^{PAH} = \Phi_{UV} \sigma_{UV}^{PAH} \Delta n_g, \quad (13)$$

where Φ_{UV} is the incident UV photon flux, and σ_{UV}^{PAH} is the absorption cross section. Léger *et al.* (1989) give $\sigma_{UV}^{PAH} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-17} N_C \text{ cm}^2$, with $N_C \approx 30$ the number of carbons in a PAH of $a \sim 10 \text{ \AA}$. To estimate the number density of PAHs, Δn_g , we assume that the MRN (Mathis *et al.* 1977) grain size distribution extends well into the domain of molecular sizes, following Tielens (1990):

$$\frac{dn_g}{da} = C \left(\frac{a_m}{a} \right)^{3.5}, \quad (14)$$

where a_m is the distribution upper radius cut-off; C is a constant to be determined from

$$\int_{\text{sizes}} \frac{4}{3} \pi \delta a^3 \frac{dn_g}{da} da = \mathcal{D} \Omega_b^{Ly\alpha} \rho_c. \quad (15)$$

This gives

$$\Delta n_g(a) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\Omega_b^{Ly\alpha} \rho_c}{\pi \delta a_m^3} \left(\frac{a_m}{a} \right)^{3.5} \Delta a. \quad (16)$$

We identify PAH molecules with grains of $a \sim 10 \text{ \AA}$ which contain about 100 atoms (about 70 hydrogens and 30 carbons, see Puget & Léger, 1989), and estimate their number density as

$$\Delta n_g = 5.5 \times 10^{-9} \mathcal{D}_0 Z_o^p \left(\frac{1+z_m}{1+z} \right)^q (1+z)^3 \Omega_b^{Ly\alpha} \Delta a, \quad (17)$$

with $\Delta a \simeq a \approx 10^{-7} \text{ cm}$; here we have taken $a_m = 0.1 \mu\text{m}$. Assuming the photon flux at $E_{UV} \sim 10 \text{ eV}$ to be $\Phi_{UV} \sim 3 \times 10^7 \text{ phot s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ – a factor 3 higher than the HM value at $z \approx 2-3$ to account for possible contributions from normal galaxies – we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0 I_{\nu_0} &= \frac{c}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty dz \left| \frac{dt}{dz} \right| \mathcal{E}_{(1+z)\nu_0} \\ &\simeq 2 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Similar values are found for other PAHs bands, *i.e.* $\lambda_r = 6.2, 7.7, 8.6, 11.3 \mu\text{m}$. Thus the characteristic emission from PAHs in Ly α clouds is much weaker than the FIR background deduced by FIRAS, and shown in Fig. 3.

The contribution of temperature fluctuations of small grains ($a < 100 \text{ \AA}$) to the CMB distorsion can also be shown to be unimportant. This can be seen from a comparison of the characteristic time between two subsequent absorptions of UV photons,

$$t_{abs} \approx 4 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{100 \text{ \AA}}{a} \right)^3 \text{ s}, \quad (19)$$

and the characteristic cooling time of a grain,

$$t_{cool} \approx \frac{10^4}{T_0} \text{ s}, \quad (20)$$

where the maximum temperature of a grain T_0 after absorption of a photon with energy $h\nu \approx 20 \text{ eV}$ is $T_0 \approx 50(100 \text{ \AA}/a)^{3/4}$ (Aanestad, 1989). This gives

$$\frac{t_{cool}}{t_{abs}} \simeq 5 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{100 \text{ \AA}}{a} \right)^{-15/4} \ll 1. \quad (21)$$

The FIR background emission from ions in gas phase, particularly from CII (at rest-frame wavelength $\lambda_r = 158 \mu\text{m}$) and FeII (rest-frame wavelengths $\lambda_r = 26, 35 \mu\text{m}$) can potentially trace heavy elements in Ly α clouds. The CII emissivity per unit volume due to collisions is (see Wolfire *et al.* 1995)

$$\mathcal{E}_\nu = 5 \times 10^{-25} Z_o [C] x_{CII} T_4^{-0.18} n_e^2 (\Delta\nu_D)^{-1}, \quad (22)$$

where $\Delta\nu_D$ is the Doppler width, $[C]$ the abundance of carbon, x_{CII} , the CII ionization fraction. With the above assumptions and for solar carbon abundance, $[C] = [C]_\odot$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0 I_{\nu_0} &= \frac{c}{4\pi} \nu_0 \int_0^{z_i} dz \left| \frac{dt}{dz} \right| \mathcal{E}_{(1+z)\nu_0} \\ &\simeq 10^{-13} h^3 f \Delta^2 \left(\frac{\Omega_b^{Ly\alpha}}{0.02} \right)^2 x_{CII} (1+z_m)^q \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\nu_0}{\nu_r} \right)^{q-1/2} \Theta[\nu_0(1+z_i) - \nu_r], \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where Δ is the overdensity of Ly α clouds, and f is the filling factor of denser regions. Fig. 3 shows the expected emission from CII ions compared with the FIR background. We are plotting for completeness three different estimates of the background taken from Puget *et al.* (1996), Guiderdoni *et al.* (1997), and Fixsen *et al.* (1998). Such emission

Figure 3. The FIR background and submm emission from CII ions in Ly α clouds. Solid lines show different estimates of the COBE/FIRAS data by Puget *et al.* (1996) (labelled P), Guiderdoni *et al.* (1997) (G), and Fixen *et al.* (1998) (F). Broken lines represent CII emission for different metallicity evolution: $q = 3.3, 2.0, 1.0, 0.0$, and Ly α cloud overdensity $f^{1/2}\Delta = 10^4$ (dot-dashed), $f^{1/2}\Delta = 3 \times 10^3$ (long dot-dashed), $f^{1/2}\Delta = 10^3$ (dashed); fractional ionization $x_{CII} = 1$, $z_m = 3$, $z_i = 10$.

in this case is more model dependent than the CMB distortion produced by dust particles. Besides uncertainties connected with abundance and ionization of CII, the density of Ly α cloud gas enters as an additional free parameter due to the collisional nature of emission from CII fine-structure lines. On average, the density contrast of Ly α clouds is thought not to exceed 10-30. However, the regions containing metals and dust could be connected with debris of supershells produced by strong explosions during active star formation phases in young galaxies, and can be much more dense. The gas density in such regions can possibly cover a wide range up to galactic values, $n \sim 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. To illustrate the dependence of the FIR background on gas density in metal-rich regions of Ly α clouds, we present in Fig. 3 the flux calculated from eq. 23 for density contrasts $\Delta = 10^3, 3 \times 10^3$, and 10^4 which correspond at $z = 3$ to a number density $n \simeq 0.005, 0.015$, and 0.05 cm^{-3} , respectively. The intensity of CII emission is plotted for $x_{CII} = 1$, and scales as $\propto x_{CII}$.

Fig. 3 allows us to draw qualitative conclusions about the evolution of metals in Ly α clouds. It is seen clearly that only strong evolutionary scenarios ($q > 1$) can avoid producing an excess in the submm range of the background radiation. In particular, for $x_{CII} = 0.01$ models with high overdensity, $f^{1/2}\Delta = 10^4$, produce an intensity below the COBE/FIRAS data at submm wavelengths only when $q \geq 2$. In general, this requirement is satisfied by all models with $f^{1/2}\Delta x_{CII}^{1/2} \leq 10^3$. For realistic values $f < \Delta^{-1}$, the CII emission can exceed the COBE/FIRAS flux in the submm range only for overdensities $\Delta \gtrsim 10^6$.

It is clear also that FeII ions give small contribution to the FIR background for two reasons: iron is less abun-

dant than carbon, and in addition fine-structure emission of FeII falls to shorter wavelength range, $26 (35) \mu\text{m} \leq \lambda_0 \leq 26 (35) (1 + z_i) \mu\text{m} = 286 (385) \mu\text{m}$ for $z_i = 10$, where the background emission is much stronger than in submm range.

6 CMB ANISOTROPIES DUE TO DUST EMISSION

As the dust distribution is inhomogeneous, it will result in the anisotropy of the FIR background radiation caused by dust emission. The angular pattern of anisotropy will depend on the sizes and clustering properties of the Lyman- α clouds. If the Lyman- α clouds are assumed to be unclustered and Poisson distributed then the typical value of anisotropy in the intensity $\simeq N^{-1/2}$, N being the average number of clouds along any given line of sight; observations show that $N \simeq 100$ up to $z \simeq 3$ (see e.g., Mo *et al.* 1993). The angular pattern will be a 'white noise' spectrum, i.e., independent of the angle. Of course, in a realistic experiment there would be a further smearing of this anisotropy by the finite width of the beam by a factor of x_{cl}/σ_0 , x_{cl} and σ_0 being the typical size of cloud and the beam width, respectively. However, though the clustering properties of Lyman- α clouds remain controversial, Cristiani *et al.* (1997) have claimed a weak but non-negligible clustering of Lyman- α clouds at high redshifts. According to Cristiani *et al.* (1997), Lyman- α clouds below HI column densities $10^{13.8} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ show no clustering but clouds of higher column density cluster at scales corresponding to a few hundred kms^{-1} , with a clustering amplitude proportional to the HI column density. In addition, they notice a trend of increase in clustering as the redshift decreases. Their results, cast in the form of three-dimensional two-point correlation function, are consistent with $\xi(r) = [r/r_0(z)]^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 1.77$ and $r_0(z) = r_0(0) \times (1 + z)^{-5/3}$ from $1.7 \lesssim z \lesssim 4$ with $r_0(0) \simeq 1.5\text{--}2 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$. At lower redshifts the clustering is observed to be higher than predicted by the clustering behaviour at high z (Ulmer 1996). For the purposes of this paper, we take the redshift dependence of clustering amplitude indicated by the high redshift data up to $z = 0$, bearing in mind that this might underestimate the clustering at low z .

We follow the treatment of Bond *et al.* (1986) in evaluating the two point angular correlation function, $C(\theta)$, of the anisotropies from the clustering of Lyman- α clouds (for more details see Peebles 1980). For the three-dimensional two-point correlation function given above, we obtain

$$C^{1/2}(\theta) = \frac{\langle \Delta I_d^2(\theta) \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle I_d \rangle} \simeq F_{pq}(z_i) \left(\frac{H_0 r_0(0)}{2c} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \sin^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} \theta, \quad (24)$$

where $\langle I_d \rangle$ is the mean intensity of the background emission from dust. $F_{pq}(z_i) \simeq 1$, and it increases slightly as z_i is decreased and/or the product pq is increased, indicating that the dominant signal comes from a smaller redshift where the clustering scale is larger. For $\gamma \simeq 1.77$ and $H_0 r_0(0) \simeq 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, we get $\langle \Delta I_d^2 \rangle^{1/2} / \langle I_d \rangle \simeq 1.1 \times 10^{-3} \theta^{-0.4}$ for $\theta \ll 1$. At $\theta \simeq 10''$, the angle corresponding to the typical clustering scale at high z , $\langle \Delta I_d^2 \rangle^{1/2} / \langle I_d \rangle \simeq 0.1$, which is comparable to what one would expect from the 'white noise' fluctuations discussed above. We recall that eq. (24) should not be used for scales smaller than the typical size of the

cloud at high z . The signal from dust emission will be significant at wavelengths $\simeq 400\text{--}1000\ \mu\text{m}$ with typical intensities $\simeq 10^{-7}\text{--}10^{-8}\ \text{erg cm}^{-2}\ \text{sec}^{-1}\ \text{sr}^{-1}$, with a fluctuation amplitude of 0.1 at angular scales $10''\text{--}1'$. The ongoing and future missions like ISO (ISO-PHOT), SCUBA, and FIRST operate/will operate in this wavelength band with sensitivities high enough to detect this fluctuation, though it may be hard to separate the contribution of Ly α cloud dust emission from other extragalactic sources at high redshift.

Another potentially measurable quantity is the predicted CMB anisotropy. The latter is given by the ratio of the angular intensity variation to the CMB radiation at any given frequency:

$$\frac{\langle \Delta I_d^2(\theta) \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle I_{\text{CMB}} \rangle} = \frac{3.55 \times 10^{-8}}{11 - 2pq} Z_o^p \left(\frac{\Omega_b^{Ly\alpha}}{0.02} \right) (1 + z_m)^{pq} \times \left(\frac{\nu_0}{10^{11}\ \text{Hz}} \right)^2 \left[(1 + z_i)^{-pq+11/2} - 1 \right] \frac{\langle \Delta I_d^2(\theta) \rangle^{1/2}}{\langle I_d \rangle}. \quad (25)$$

Taking $z_i = 5$, $p = q = 0$, and $\Omega_b^{Ly\alpha} = 0.02$, we get $\langle \Delta I_d^2(\theta) \rangle^{1/2} / \langle I_{\text{CMB}} \rangle \simeq 9.2 \times 10^{-6} h^{-1} \langle \Delta I_d^2(\theta) \rangle^{1/2} / \langle I_d \rangle$ at $\nu_0 = 360\ \text{GHz}$. Most of the contribution to the anisotropy comes from high redshifts (around $z = z_i$) where most of the baryons are seen to be in Ly α clouds in recent hydrodynamical simulations (Miralda-Escudé et al. 1996.). Fomalont et al. (1993) obtained an upper bound of $\Delta T / T_{\text{CMB}} < 3 \times 10^{-5}$ for $10''\text{--}100''$ at $\nu_0 = 8.44\ \text{GHz}$. The anisotropies from dust emission are several orders below this upper limit because of their ν_0^2 dependence. The best hope to detect the anisotropy is at infrared frequencies. Recently, the ongoing CMB experiment Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Infrared experiment (SuZIE) reported its first results. At $\nu = 142\ \text{GHz}$, they obtained an upper limit $\Delta T / T \leq 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ at angular scales of $\simeq 1'.1$ (Church et al. 1997). A comparison of this result with our estimate shows that our predictions are still an order below this upper limit. However, in coming years, the SuZIE instrument will be upgraded to have additional frequency channels at 217 and 268 GHz. As the expected signal is much higher at these frequencies, it might be marginally detectable. Also, this anisotropy might be an important foreground for other secondary CMB anisotropies which could be present at sub-arc minute scale with amplitudes of a few μK (for details see Bond 1996 and references therein). The future CMB mission Planck surveyor will also operate at frequencies at which the dust emission from high z Ly α clouds will peak (see Bouchet et al. 1995) With its sensitivity, the Planck surveyor will be able to detect a fluctuation at the level of a few μK at $\nu_0 \simeq 300\ \text{GHz}$ with an angular resolution of $4.5'$. From our analysis above, the fluctuations expected from dust emission are likely to be weaker than the sensitivity of the Planck surveyor.

7 CONCLUSIONS

We have considered possible observational consequences of the presence of dust in Ly α clouds. We have used a rather general expression for the dust density, $\rho_d(z) = \rho_c \mathcal{D}_0 Z_o^p (1 + z_m / (1 + z))^{pq} \Omega_b^{Ly\alpha}(z)$, which accounts for possible nonlinearity of the dust-to-metals ratio versus metallicity (Lisenfeld & Ferrara 1998), and evolution of metallicity with z . We

have calculated the temperature of dust grains heated by CMB photons and UV background radiation from quasars following Haardt & Madau (1996), and assuming dust to be constituted by standard “astronomical silicates” (Draine & Lee, 1984). Our main results are the following:

1) The contribution of UV radiation to dust heating is small in comparison with heating by CMB photons; only at $z \leq 1$ the dust temperature fractional deviation from $T_{\text{CMB}}(z)$ reaches its maximum of 5–10%.

2) The Ly α cloud dust opacity to redshift ~ 5 sources around the observed wavelength $\lambda_0 \sim 1\ \mu\text{m}$ is ~ 0.13

3) The expected CMB spectral distortion due to high- z dust in Ly α clouds is $\sim 1.25 - 10$ smaller than current COBE upper limit on the y -parameter, depending on the metallicity evolution.

4) The predicted value of anisotropies produced by dust clustered on scales $H_0 x_0 \sim 150\ \text{km s}^{-1}$ is $\langle \Delta I_d^2(\theta) \rangle^{1/2} / \langle I_d \rangle \simeq 0.1$ on scales $\theta \lesssim 10''$. The ongoing and future missions operating at FIR wavelengths might be able to detect such effect, thus providing valuable information on the dust content, evolution and clustering properties of Ly α clouds.

5) The fine-structure emission of CII ions can contribute to the submm range of the spectrum if metal enriched regions in Ly α clouds are sufficiently dense, $n \sim 0.1\ \text{cm}^{-3}$. If the average overdensity in such regions is as high as $\Delta \gtrsim 10^3 / (f x_{\text{CII}})^{1/2}$, only strong metallicity evolutionary scenarios with $q > 1$ are allowed.

We thank the referee, Dr. F. Bouchet, for insightful comments. SKS thanks Bruno Guiderdoni for useful information on FIR missions. YS acknowledges support from NATO Guest Fellowship Programme 1996 from Italian CNR 219.29

REFERENCES

- Aanestad, P. A. 1989, in *Evolution of Interstellar Dust and Related Topics*, eds. A. Bonetti, J. M. Greenberg, and S. Aiello, North-Holland, p. 121
- Bond, J.R., Carr, B.J. & Hogan, C.J. 1986, *ApJ*, 306, 428
- Bond, J.R., Carr, B.J. & Hogan, C.J. 1991, *ApJ*, 367, 420
- Bond, J.R. 1996, *Observations of Large-Scale Structure in the universe*, ed. Schaeffer, R., (Les Houches: Elsevier), in press
- Bouchet, F. R., Gispert, R. & Puget, J.-L. 1995, “Unveiling the Cosmic Infrared Background”, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 348
- Church, S. E., et al. 1997, *ApJ*, 484, 523
- Ciardi, B. & Ferrara, A. 1997, *ApJL*, 483, 5
- Cowie, L.L., Songaila, A., Kim, T. & Hu, E.M. 1995, *AJ*, 109, 1522
- Cristiani, S., D’Odorico, S., D’Odorico, V., Fontana, A., Giallongo, E. & Savaglio, S. 1997, *MNRAS*, 285, 209
- Draine, B. T. & Lee, H. M. 1984, *ApJ*, 258, 89
- Draine, B. T. & Anderson, N. 1985, *ApJ*, 292, 494
- Eales, S. A. & Edmunds, M. G., 1996, *MNRAS*, 280, 1167
- Fall, S. M., Pei, Y. C. 1989, *ApJ*, 341, L5
- Fall, S. M., Charlot, S. & Pei, Y. C. 1996, *ApJ*, 464, L43

- Fixsen, D. J., Cheng, E. S., Gales, J. M., Mather, J. C., Shafer, R. A. & Wright, E. L. 1996, *ApJ*, 473, 576
- Fixsen, D. J., Dwek, E., Mather, J. C., Bennett, C. L. & Shafer, R. A. 1998, *ApJ*, in press (astro-ph/9803021)
- Fomalont, E. B., Partridge, R. B., Lowenthal, J. D., & Windhorst, R. A. 1993, *ApJ*, 404, 8
- Gnedin, N. Y. & Ostriker, J. P. 1997, *ApJ*, 486, 581
- Guiderdoni, B., Bouchet, F. R., Puget, J. L., Lagache, G. & Hivon, E. 1997, *Nature*, 390, 257
- Haardt, F. & Madau, P. 1996, *ApJ*, 461, 20 (HM)
- Issa, M.R., MacLaren, I., Wolfendale, A.W., 1990, *A&A*, 236, 237
- Léger, A., d'Hendecourt, L. B., Défourneau, D., 1989, *A&A*, 216, 148L
- Lisenfeld, U. & Ferrara, A. 1998, *ApJ*, 496, 145
- Loeb, A. & Haiman, Z. 1997, *ApJ*, 490, 571
- Mathis, J. S., Ruml, W. & Nordsiek, K. H. 1977, *ApJ*, 217, 425
- Miralda-Escudé, J., Cen, R., Ostriker, J. P. & Rauch, M. 1996, *ApJ*, 471, 582
- Mo, H. J., Miralda-Escudé, J. & Rees, M. J. 1993, *MNRAS*, 264, 705
- Naselskii, P. D. & Novikov, I. D. 1989, *Sov. Astron.*, 33, 350
- Nath, B., Sethi, S. & Shchekinov, Yu. 1997, *MNRAS*, submitted
- Negroponte, J., Rowan - Robinson, M. & Silk, J. 1981, *ApJ*, 248, 38
- Ostriker, J. P. & Heisler, J. 1984, *ApJ*, 278, 1
- Peebles, P. J. E. 1993, *Principles of Physical Cosmology*, (Princeton: UniPress)
- Pei, Y. C. & Fall, S. M. 1995, *ApJ*, 454, 69
- Pettini, M., King, D. L., Smith, L. J. & Hunstead, R. W. 1997, *ApJ*, 478, 536
- Puget, J. -L., Léger, A., Boulanger, F., 1985, *A&A*, 142, L19
- Puget, J. -L., Léger, A., 1989, *ARA&A*, 27, 161
- Puget, J. -L., et al. 1996, *A&A*, 308, L5
- Rowan-Robinson, M., Negroponte, J. & Silk, J. 1979, *Nature*, 281, 635
- Schmidt K.H. & Boller T. 1993, *Astron. Nachr.*, 314, 361
- Songaila, A. & Cowie, L. L. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 335
- Tielens, A. G. G. M., 1990, in *Submillimetre Astronomy*, eds. G. D. Watt & A. S. Webster (Dordrecht: Reidel), 13
- Tytler, D., Fan, X.M., Burles, S., Cottrell, L., Davis, C., Kirkman, D. & Zuo, L. 1995, in *QSOs Absorption Lines*, Proc. ESO Workshop, ed. Meylan, G. (Heidelberg: Springer)
- Ulmer, A. 1996, *ApJ*, 473, 110
- Wolfire, M.G., Hollenbach, D., McKee, C.F., Tielens, A.G.G.M., & Bakes, E.L.O. 1995, *ApJ*, 443, 152
- Young, J. S. & Scoville, N. Z. 1991, *ARA&A*, 29, 581
- Zinchenko, I. I. 1979, *Pis'ma AZh*, 5, 435





